

LPHSA Work Group 1 - Debrief Summary

Essential Service 1: Monitor Health Status to Identify Community Health Problems

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amount of data and data collection • Health of Boston report • Boston Foundation’s Indicators Project • Urban League’s State of Black Boston • MAPP – Forces of Change, Community Health Status - using many ways to collect data • Regional Intelligence Center/Boston Police Headquarters – network for easy info sharing • Health registries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overlap/gaps - each organization conducts own research and data collecting systems • Need more info sharing between orgs • Do not address multiple languages in the community when we collect and share data • Assessment gaps, misinformation and conflicting info, (i.e., define ‘neighborhood’ differently) • Community Health Profiles • Limited communication with residents • Phone surveys – numbers change, may not be the best approach to collect data • Enforcement of regulations and protocols may not be effective. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Merge all data collected in Boston and create integrated data systems • Regional Intelligence Center –where everyone could collect and report their data and have access to all • Collect youth data (currently rely on YRBS) • Connect EMRs to registries • Focus on defining community such as race, gender – rather than geographical • Develop surveillance systems to catch health outbreaks before they happen. • Use surveillance for public health interventions • Standardized system of reporting demographic data

LPHSA Work Group 1 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 2: Diagnose and Investigate Health Problems and Health Hazards in the Community

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flu response - excellent • The city's surveillance system to monitor health threats – risk communication • Shots fire program (sensors around city that recognizes fire arm shooting) • Health centers all connected • Providers mandated to ask demographic questions; provider trainings • EMS existing within BPHC, very well linked • Emergency response to environmental health concerns at all levels; unified command center • Laboratories • Coordinated effort with agencies • Emergency preparedness (webinars, templates, standardized, audits, routine drills among all emergency staff) • Grants to community organizations to improve emergency preparedness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-Infectious disease harder to detect • Disease variability, incompleteness of data • Haphazard feedback • No consequence for submitting data late. (BPHC trying to get data submitted right from the labs) • Quality of data reporting. No standard data collection system around ethnicity, cultural values, etc. • Reporting and data entry - painful process, not state of the art • Serious issues around resources • State lab - self regulation, low confidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support systems to identify source of problem rather than outcome • Observe and learn from state drug lab issue

LPHSA Work Group 2 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 3: Inform, Educate, and Empower Individuals and Communities about Health Issues

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Information going out• Consistency of message• City council/policy makers• Emergency Preparedness – trainings, evaluation, data	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Barriers to engaging and communicating with residents – distrust, literacy, language, cultural barriers, etc. – info not reaching all• Turf issues/lack of system coordination – feedback loop, i.e. EMS doesn't know what happens once client gets to the hospital• Large hospitals not well positioned for cross sectional engagement• Large scale change methods—like policy level change and activism and knowledge• Health messaging hard to evaluate and alone won't create behavior change	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Use culturally and linguistically appropriate info• Add media and aging to jelly bean map• “Every issue is a public health issue” needs to be a billboard• Use focus groups among targeted pop. In developing messaging/materials

LPHSA Work Group 2 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 4: Mobilize Community Partnerships to Identify and Solve Health Problems

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lots of neighborhood level activity – outreach, surveys, goal setting, engagement • Messaging penetrating throughout the city • Yearly Neighborhood Health Status report • Health of Boston, neighborhood specific • Flu response - excellent • Hospitals and CHCs conduct community based assessment • Lots of activity to reach out to community residents • Cross-sector alliances 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Activity siloed by topic and/or neighborhood – challenge crossing lines • Few large scale efforts • Funding/resources; consistency; sustainability • Larger organizations not reaching into neighborhoods to help out at resident level • Need more integrated approach - getting people in a particular area to see how they fit into and are affecting public health at large • Aging Services extremely isolated • Haphazard mechanism in city to identify and engage constituents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Involve PH system/community health care in elder health; monitor needs, demands, and progress • Large organizations to send regular memos to neighborhood orgs to inform them on what’s going on to help in their work • Assign ombudsmen to help people interconnect • Cross-fertilization; a fully utilized mechanism to share information across all neighborhoods • Do groundwork to identify key constituents/stakeholders to engage residents

LPHSA Work Group 3 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 5: Develop Policies and Plans that Support Individual and Community Health Efforts

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong level of youth engagement • Tremendous human resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unfair distribution of resources • Access to health system is not well 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased marketing • Ensure that new populations are

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Robust Boston Public Health Commission, organizational structure, and coordination with stakeholders • Increased knowledge about laws and regulations • Public meetings and hearings that allow for greater citizen representation • Strong coordination between the city and state • Significant involvement of BPHC in health equity issues • Huge effort to coordinate and support coalitions • Cross-sector support from BACH • Thorough and swift flu response • Strategic, multiyear plan is reviewed annually 	<p>publicized</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need neighborhood-specific data • Hospitals do not coordinate enough with or provide enough resources for community health centers • Need resources for harm reduction • Losing direct service workers due to underfunding • Lack of community health improvement plans • Programs are driven by funding, not by need • Lack of outreach to and representation of Asian and Pacific Islander residents 	<p>aggressively marketed to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain school-based health centers • Engage new non-traditional partners in understanding health impact • Utilize untapped resources from developers • Greater alignment of data to increase articulation of goals, outcomes, and implementation strategies • Need to increase data monitoring and sharing to evaluate change and decrease duplication • Need to articulate state local plans • BPH Council needs to better identify and share strategic plans and outcomes • Need better outreach to non-English speakers, special populations • Potential for better coordination and engagement of non-traditional resources (T, utilities) in emergency response situations
--	--	---

LPHSA Work Group 3 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 6: Enforce Laws and Regulations that Protect Health and Ensure Safety

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Good systemic approach to tobacco• Most individual organizations have an emergency response plan• Flu response – lots of coordination• Many initiatives that promote health and safety, i.e. inspections of nail salons	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Emergency response plans often aren't shared or known• Public health system needs to understand that non-health laws (social justice issues) also impact equity• Uneven enforcement of existing regulations (tobacco advertising, store window signage)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Make sure equity is addressed in emergency response plans• Proactive mapping of landlords, businesses in advance

LPHSA Work Group 4 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 7: Link People to Needed Personal Health Services and Assure the Provisions of Health Care when Otherwise Unavailable

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High visibility of healthy food and healthy activity promotion at the city level • Agency capability to conduct assessments • Rich array of community perspectives • Many avenues for disseminating and receiving info 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of marketing of certain services to certain communities • Too many siloed communities • Having so many organizations, with no communication between them, leads to redundancies in certain health services and a complete absence of some of them • Shortage of services: mental health and substance abuse is not fully identified in the community health systems • Many racial barriers still exist • Social services are not widely offered • The public health system can be a maze for people trying to navigate through it • People are linked to services, but often have other barriers that prevent them from utilizing these services (costs, etc) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Involve service providers in advertising services to the community • Create a localized, comprehensive clearinghouse list of what services are offered and where • Encourage more significant partnerships between community health centers

LPHSA Work Group 4 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 9: Evaluate Effectiveness, Accessibility, and Quality of Personal and Population-Based Health Services

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Collective achievement has led to 98% insured in Massachusetts• LPHS recognizes that disparities are real, that they relate to determinants other than economic status, and they are ready to help correct these disparities• Provision of personal health services	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lots of gaps for how information is used and disseminated• Lack of system wide partnerships or system wide evaluations• No way of measuring quality of care	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Learn from consumers about what works, in addition to what is not working

LPHSA Work Group 5 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 8: Assure a Competent Public and Personal Health Care Workforce

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Job standards and/or position descriptions for all personnel• Strong emergency preparedness plans and communication systems (storms, flu)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identifying and addressing gaps – know they are there• Applying health equity lens to professional development, training, hiring, practice, etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Create pipeline to advance and fund people of color to enter health care workforce• Core public health competencies should include racial justice and health equity

LPHSA Work Group 5 – Debrief Summary

Essential Service 10: Research for New Insights and Innovative Solutions to Health Problems

Strengths	Weaknesses/Challenges	Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Boston area receives more research than other areas of the US • Some community-based organizations propose their own studies and can conduct them • More research over the past year on health inequities • Strong partnership between LPHS and institutions of higher learning and/or research organizations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Efforts are not connected • Minorities often are not part of clinical studies • Study subjects often don't know how the research is being used or if it is being used against them • Disconnect between researchers and the community • Lack of community/consumer involvement in research • Research findings are often unavailable to the community • Cultural disconnect between research institutions and community organizations • Community based organizations often do not know about research projects and therefore cannot participate or give input as to what hypothesis should be tested • Lack of follow-up research • Organizations don't have resources or the capacity to do annual reviews 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Move community gardens into the communities that need them the most • Accepting WIC in farmer's markets and safer parks • Make adjustments to best-practices methods that are culturally sensitive and relevant • Consider bi-directional studies • Expand communication between LPHS and institutions of higher learning and/or research institutions

